

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
10/680,265	10/07/2003	Stefan Marinca	T0461.70041US00	6382
23628 7590 04/30/2009 WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.			EXAMINER	
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			ROSSOSHEK, YELENA	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2825	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Response to Rule 312 Communication

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/680,265	MARINCA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Examiner	Art Unit		
	0005		
Helen Rossoshek	2825		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

1. 🛭	The	amendment filed on 02 April 2009 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:
	a) 🔲	entered.
	b) 🛛	entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
	c) 🔲	disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.  Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.
	d) 🔲	disapproved. See explanation below.
	e) 🔲	entered in part. See explanation below.
	The ar respor longer the so for allo	rentered in part. See explanation below.  mendment filed 04/02/2009 including filed IDS was considered, signed and entered by Examiner at this time. In near the total policy of the content of reasons for allowence of claim 31 is no accurate, it has to be noted that amendment to claim 31 actually corrects typographical error, which does not affect ope of claim melher change the subject matter of the claim 31. Therefore since the Examiner's statement of reasons wence of claim 31 substantially repeats the claim 31, the corrected text of claim 31 should be applied to the net's statement of reason for allowance.

/Helen Rossoshek/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2825